

IT'S FREE CHECKING MADE BETTER**Call 800-892-2096 or Visit NewAlliance Bank Today.**Member FDIC  Equal Housing Lender**CLICK HERE.**

[Jobs](#)
[Cars](#)
[Real Estate](#)
[Mortgages](#)
[Apartments](#)
[Classified](#)
[ShopLocal](#)
[Place an Ad](#)
[Coupons](#)

News

[Connecticut Towns](#)
[Latest News](#)
[Education](#)
[Health](#)
[NE Magazine](#)
[Politics](#)
[Specials](#)
[World/Nation](#)

Business

[Life](#)
[Sports](#)
[Opinion](#)
[Obituaries](#)

[ctnow.com](#)

[Dining](#)
[Movies](#)

[Music](#)
[Nightlife](#)
[Stage](#)
[TV/Radio](#)

Site Tools

[courant.com](#) [the web](#)

CONNECTICUT NEWS**Gay Couples Challenge Marriage Laws**

3:08 PM EST, March 21, 2006

The Associated Press

Jeffrey Busch and Stephen Davis of Wilton say the civil union that gives them the same legal rights as married couples in Connecticut also makes them feel inferior to heterosexuals.

Busch and Davis were among eight couples Tuesday challenging the state's ban on gay marriage in Superior court.

A bill that last year legalized civil unions but defined marriage as only between a man and a woman "is nothing less than the government's announcement that these are second-class citizens,"

Ben Klein, a senior attorney for Gay and Lesbian Advocates and Defenders, told Judge Patty Jenkins Pittman.

GLAD, which used a similar argument to win gay marriage in Massachusetts, filed suit on behalf of the couples in 2004.

Similar lawsuits are pending in several other states. In January, a Baltimore judge ruled that a law against gay marriage violates the Maryland Constitution's guarantee of equal rights.

The Connecticut couples are not challenging the civil union law, but say the state's refusal to issue the same marriage licenses to gay and heterosexual couples is unconstitutional.

Assistant Attorney General Jane Rosenberg defended that state, arguing that there is no fundamental right to marry under Connecticut law and that marriage has

enhanced by
Google[Log in](#) [Register](#) [Services](#)**UTILITIES**

-  [E-mail story](#)
-  [Most e-mailed stories](#)
-  [Printer-friendly version](#)

PHOTO[Courthouse](#)

(BOB CHILD / AP)

ADVERTISEMENT

The new 

Now only
\$12.99
/mo.
FOR A YEAR.

[Order Now >>](#)

 

Available online only. Other monthly charges apply. See details.

MORE HEADLINES

-  [Skakel Appeal To Go To Supreme Court](#)
-  [Fire Destroys Madison Cottages](#)
-  [Neighboring States Spend At Casinos](#)
-  [Kids Party, Adults Charged In Farmington](#)
-  [State Officials Lobby Against Probe](#)

RELATED

[Yellow Pages](#)
[Maps](#)
[Traffic](#)
[Weather](#)
[Site Map](#)
[RSS Feeds](#)
[XML](#)

Services

[Subscribe](#)
[Archives](#)
[Extras](#)
[HC Jobs](#)
[Reprints](#)
[Pix Reprints](#)
[Browse Ads](#)
[View Page 1](#)
[Media Kit](#)
[Services](#)

traditionally been defined as between a man and a woman.

"What the plaintiffs are apparently seeking is for Connecticut to change the definition of marriage itself," she said.

She said it was reasonable for the state to create civil unions to give gay couples the legal rights of marriage while also dealing with administrative issues, such as federal Medicaid and Medicare programs, which do not recognize gay marriage.

Jenkins Pittman said she is struggling with whether giving gay couples the same legal rights as heterosexual couples but calling them something different is so harmful that it requires a court remedy.

Klein argued that the word marriage carries such weight in society that denying it to same-sex couples is harmful. He also argued that it is important for gay couples to be able to say they are married when they travel to other states and want to, for instance, visit their partners in the hospital.

"Marriage is privileged legal, cultural and social status," he said.

Jenkins Pittman also asked Rosenberg whether Connecticut's law preventing same-sex couples from marrying is any different from a Virginia law that prevented interracial couples from marrying until it was declared unconstitutional.

Rosenberg said the difference is that race is not an essential part of marriage but that the gender of the participants is.

Jenkins Pittman said she expects whoever loses to appeal. A spokeswoman for GLAD said the case will likely end up before the state Supreme Court in about a year.

The couples said Tuesday they are optimistic.

"Connecticut has done so much to allow us to be a family," Busch said. "I believe the courts will correct this injustice of not allowing us to marry."

Busch said he and Davis had a civil union ceremony for the benefit of their son, 3-year-old Elijah Davis Busch. But they didn't invite any guests.

"You only have one chance to cry at your wedding, and I didn't want to waste that on a civil union, which feels like second class," Busch said.

The Family Institute of Connecticut, a group that opposes gay marriage, has asked to intervene in the case, claiming the attorney general's office is not vigorously defending Connecticut's marriage laws. The state Supreme Court has not yet ruled on whether the group can become part of the case.

Family Institute Executive Director Brian Brown, who was in court Tuesday, said his group believes the attorney general's office should be arguing about the effect of gay marriage on children.

"I'm very worried about how this is going to turn out," he said.

Interact with The Courant:

> **Email** Reader Rep. Karen Hunter with comments.

TOP JOBS

from CareerBuilder

TEACHERS / RN'S /

LPN'S /

PARAPROFESSIONALS

HARTFORD PUBLIC

SCHOOLS

**MEDICAL DEVICE
SALES**

REPRESENTATIVE

NOT SPECIFIED

**MACHINIST 2ND
SHIFT**

HTD AEROSPACE, LLC

**INSTRUMENTATION
AND CONTROL**

ENGINEER

REGIONAL WATER
AUTHORITY

**RECEPTIONIST /
ADMINISTRATIVE**

ASSISTANT

ALZHEIMER'S ASSOC.

WAREHOUSE

WORKERS

MCKESSON

TELEMARKETING

DIRECT BUY OF
CENTRAL CT

DISTRICT SALES

**MANAGERS,
APPLICATION**

ENGINEERS

FILTER SPECIALISTS,
INC.

**CHIEF OPERATING
OFFICER/ COO**

**MULTIPLE
POSITIONS**

AVAILABLE

BUTLER COMPANY